## EVIDENTIARY HEARING

## BEFORE THE

# CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of: )	
Application for Certification for ) Docket N the Almond 2 Power Plant Project )	No. 09-AFC-2

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2010 9:07 A.M.

Reported by: Diana Sasseen CSR No. 13456

# 1 APPEARANCES 2 HEARING OFFICER 3 Kourtney Vaccaro 4 COMMITTEE MEMBERS 5 Karen Douglas, Chair and Presiding Member 6 Lorraine White, Advisor to Commissioner Eggert 7 8 STAFF 9 Kerry Willis, Staff Counsel 10 Robin Mayer, Staff Counsel Felicia Miller, Siting Project Manager 11 12 APPLICANT 13 Jeffrey Harris, Esq., Ellison, Schneider & Harris 14 Brian L. LaFollette, PE, TID Water & Power 15 George A. Davies, IV, TID Water & Power 16 Susan Strachan, Strachan Consulting 17 Sarah Madams, CH2MHILL 18 Stephanie Moore, CH2WMHILL 19 Greg Tucker, TID Water & Power 20 Jeff Atkins, Sierra Research 21 Nancy Matthews, Sierra Research (via phone) 22 23 24 25

		iii			
4	T. T				
1	INDEX	PAGE			
2	Opening remarks by Presiding Member Douglas	1			
3	Opening remarks by Hearing Officer Vaccaro	2			
4	STAFF'S WITNESS				
5	(Rupi Gill)				
6	Direct Examination by Ms. Mayer	13			
7	Closing remarks by Hearing Office Vaccaro	16			
8	Adjournment	16			
9	Reporter's Certificate	17			
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
20					

			iv
1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3		Marked	Received
4	APPLICANT'S 1-46		11
5	1 10		11
6			
7	STAFF'S		
8	301		12
9	302		12
10	303		12
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

### PROCEEDINGS

PRESIDING MEMBER DOUGLAS: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to the evidentiary hearing on the proposed Almond 2 Power Plant Project. I'm Karen Douglas. Commission Eggert is the associate member of the committee, and his advisor, Lorraine White, is not immediately next to me on my left, but one seat over. On my immediate left is our hearing officer.

And at this point, can I ask that the applicant introduce themselves for the record.

MR. HARRIS: Good morning. Thank you. My name is Jeff Harris, of Ellison, Schneider & Harris, here on behalf of the district as counsel. To my right is Susan --

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Sorry, Mr. Harris, I think you turned off the mic.

MR. HARRIS: I hate when that happens. Should I start over?

Jeff Harris of Ellison, Schneider & Harris. To my right is Susan Strachan from Strachan Consulting, environmental consultant to the project. To my left is Brian LaFollette, who is, I think, the AGM for power supply and all things important.

Behind me is Greg Tucker, also with the district, George Davies with the district, Sarah Madams with

1 CH2MHILL, and Stephanie Moore in the back, also with CH2,
2 Jeff Atkins from Sierra Research, and Nancy Matthews with
3 Sierra Research on the phone.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Thank you.

PRESIDING MEMBER DOUGLAS: And staff, could you please introduce yourself.

MS. WILLIS: Good morning. My name is

Kerry Willis, I'm staff counsel, and with me is

Robin Mayer, staff counsel, and Felicia Miller, who is the project manager. We also have air quality staff in the room.

PRESIDING MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you.

And are there any intervenors in the room or on the phone today?

All right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Are there any public officials or representatives of government agencies in the room or on the phone?

MR. GILL: Rupi Gill, permit services manager, San Joaquin Air District.

PRESIDING MEMBER DOUGLAS: Thank you very much.

21 At this point, we're through the introductions.

22 | I'll turn this back to Hearing Officer Vaccaro.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. I think for those of you in person and those of you who might be on the phone who haven't identified yourself, we are here

today for the prehearing conference and evidentiary hearing for the Almond 2 Power Plant Project. I think what we'll start with is a little bit of housekeeping, and then we'll move into the introduction of evidence.

But just that so we're clear on the importance of today's proceeding, this is very important because we need to make sure that all of the oral and documentary evidence is entered into the evidentiary record. We have plenty of information in the public record, but that evidentiary record is the basis for the presiding member's proposed decision.

I think we all are aware that we're working swiftly, we're looking for an end-of-the-year decision; with that, the Committee does anticipate producing a PMPD by the end of this month. So moving through today's proceedings and ensuring that all of the information is in the record, which will be opened and closed today, is ideal. I think we've gone a long ways towards ensuring that our exhibit lists, or topic of witness lists are taken care of appropriately. I think we do still have a few matters of housekeeping to address before we actually get to the introduction of evidence.

So I think the first things are to work backwards from what the Committee is understanding based on the prehearing conference statement and the status conference.

This is what we understand: There are no issues in dispute. Any conditions of certification that might have been at issue, have been -- are no longer at issue after the last workshop, and that all of the revised clean language has been reviewed by the parties, and that is incorporated in Staff's Exhibit 301.

We're also understanding that there will be no oral testimony today with the exception of hearing from Mr. Gill on behalf of the air district with respect to the FDOC, in sponsoring the FDOC and making a few representations with respect to that matter.

Have I misstated anything, Applicant?

MR. HARRIS: That's very correct. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff, have I misstated anything?

MS. WILLIS: No. Everything you said was correct.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: I think with that, let's move to the witness list and the topic -- the topic witness list and the exhibit list.

Are there any changes at all to make with respect to the topic witness list? Based on what you all just said, we shouldn't be making any changes because the only item highlighted in green is Mr. Gill, but if, in fact, there's something that we need to modify, please let me

1 know now.

2 Applicant?

MR. HARRIS: The list is -- appears to be the list that we helped put together, and it looks complete to us, so yes, thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. And you've got the witness topic list before you? Because you're looking at the exhibit list.

MR. HARRIS: Yeah, I have that one as well. I don't have any changes, but I will confess that having just picked it up, so --

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. This is the one that I sent out several days -- I have no changes to this document from the original document that was issued on September 28th.

MR. HARRIS: With that clarification, the list is correct. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff?

MS. MAYER: It's correct. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. Thank you.

Now, I can't say the same with respect to the exhibit list. There were some changes, and I made them yesterday. I took some liberties with the changes, so let me tell you what I've done. I'd issued for everyone's review a tentative exhibit list on September 28th. In

response to my request for any clarifications, modifications, and some contacts that I made with both applicant and staff on the purely procedural matters of ensuring that the appropriate exhibits and documents are properly brought into the record, we've modified the exhibit list.

You'll notice I think through the strike out and the highlighted -- yellow highlighted items what's added or what's changed.

From the applicant's perspective, here's what I see. I see that you changed terminology. Instead of referring to "electric transmission," you've used the vernacular that we use here at the Energy Commission and changed it to "transmission system engineering." And then you made some additions to ensure that, instead of using a broad topic, you gave us some subtopics of transmission line safety and nuisance, power plant reliability, efficiency, and design, which had not otherwise been identified on your exhibit list or in the Attachment 3 to the prehearing conference statement.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Is that correct, Mr. Harris?

MR. HARRIS: Yes, that is correct.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. So with that, do you have any changes that you're going to make to

Attachment 3 of your prehearing conference statement? I specifically refer to that document because we had asked you at the September 16 conference to provide us with somewhat of a road map so that we could enter information in really quickly into the record today just on the papers. And so what isn't captured in Attachment 3 to your prehearing conference statement are the topics of transmission line safety and nuisance, power plant reliability, power plant efficiency, and facility design.

I could make the assumption that all of the exhibits that you've identified for project description and what was once known as "electric transmission" are equally applicable to each of those four sections. If that's true, then we can make sure that we have that tied up right now on the record. If there are additional exhibits that pertain to those topic areas, we need to know that now as well.

MR. HARRIS: I believe the list is complete. I do have one -- one other thing that we need to go back to your previous item.

The list of witnesses, on Item 15, Soil and Water, the applicant's witnesses there are the ones that were in our prefiled testimony, Ms. Rose and Ms. Lambert. In our filing yesterday we added -- per the Committee's request added Mr. Davies, George Davies from TID, to talk

about the water issue. And this relates to the supplemental information you requested on water issues. So Mr. Davies, Matt Frank from CH2MHILL, and Vijay Kumar, V-i-j-a-y K-u-m-a-r, for the reporter. So I apologize for not catching that previously, but those declarations were filed and served yesterday.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: And those declarations, if you would, just identify for us on the exhibit list where -- which exhibit numbers those pertain to.

Is that the replacement, Number 4, which was your initial opening testimony and declarations, which then you did a resubmittal? Is that where we would find all this new information?

MR. HARRIS: Yes, that's correct. That's our filing from yesterday, September 30th. There was two issues there. We needed to add the additional declarations, which are called out in that filing. Then our original filing had blank page numbers, that I figured out as I tried to refer to it myself. So exactly the same document, just additional page numbers on that testimony; we would replace that filing with the updated one.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. That's why I highlighted the yellow, just to make sure that we got that covered.

So just to go back as a point of clarification,

for the topics that we just covered, which were transmission line safety and nuisance, power plant reliability, power plant efficiency, facility design, are the exhibits that you've identified as pertaining to project description, electric transmission equally applicable to each of those four areas?

MR. HARRIS: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Are there any additional exhibits that would apply to any of those four areas?

MR. HARRIS: No. You identified in yellow 42, 43, 44, 45 and 46. Those are the only new exhibits that I'm aware of.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. Any other modifications, changes, additions from the applicant with respect to the exhibit list?

MR. HARRIS: No. I'll try to keep up with you in the future. That's great. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff, I'd ask the same.

MS. WILLIS: No additions.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. And you did notice I took the liberty with Item 301 to break them out, specifically identify what you did with Exhibit 301, just so that everyone is clear, that the counterparts to all of

these items that are found in Exhibit 300 are now replaced and superseded by what's in Exhibit 301. So what we're looking at is Exhibit 301 for the record for the evidence.

MS. MAYER: Yes, and staff counsel appreciates the effort that you took there.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. Well, it looks as though we're very clear now on what all the exhibits are.

The question I have for you all, we made sure in the September 16th status conference to ask that you do this: Have you all seen one another's exhibits or have you received them at this point in time?

Applicant?

MR. HARRIS: Yes. We received everything electronically and I think in hard copies.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff?

MS. MAYER: Staff has received everything, yes.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Including the documents that were submitted yesterday on the 30th by the Applicant?

MS. MAYER: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. Do you anticipate any objections to any of the testimony or the evidence that has been submitted on the documents?

Applicant?

11

```
1
             MR. HARRIS: No objections.
             HEARING OFFICE VACCARO: Staff?
 2
 3
             MS. MAYER: Staff has no objections.
 4
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. I think with
5
    that, the Committee's willing to entertain a motion from
6
    the Applicant to move your evidence into the record based
7
    on the Attachment 3 to the Prehearing Conference
8
    Statement, which identifies by topic each exhibit as well
9
    as based on the exhibit list and corresponding documents
10
    that we've just addressed.
11
             MR. HARRIS: Yes. Attachment 3 as modified by
12
    the Committee and the exhibit list as presented this
    morning, I move both of those into evidence, if that is
13
14
    the proper motion for you.
15
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff, do you have any
16
    objection to that?
17
             MS. MAYER:
                         No.
18
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay.
                                              They're
19
   admitted.
20
             (Applicant's Exhibits 1-46 were received into
             evidence.)
21
22
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff, entertain a
23
    similar motion from you to enter your documentary evidence
24
    into the record.
```

MS. MAYER: Yes. We request to move

25

```
1
    Exhibits 301, 302, and 303 into the exhibit record.
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Any objections,
 2
3
    Applicant?
 4
             MR. HARRIS: No objections.
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. With that,
5
    deemed admitted.
6
7
             (Staff's Exhibits 301-303 were admitted into
8
             evidence.)
9
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: I think the next order
10
    of business is that we do have some oral testimony that we
11
    will be receiving from the air district, Mr. Gill, with
    respect to the FDOC. So we're going to need Mr. Gill
12
    sworn in.
13
14
             Mr. Gill, are you still there?
             MR. GILL: Yes, I am.
15
16
             HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay.
                                               The court
17
    reporter is going to swear you. So you need to hold up
18
   your right hand. You're on the honor system; we can't see
19
    you, but we trust that you're actually doing this.
20
   please listen to what the court reporter tells you.
21
    Whereupon,
22
                             RUPI GILL
23
   was called as a witness herein and, having been first duly
24
    sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
```

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff, your witness.

25

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

MS. MAYER: Mr. Gill, please state your qualifications for the record.

2.4

MR. GILL: Yes. I'm a permit services manager for the San Joaquin Air District.

MS. MAYER: And you're responsible for the final determination of compliance?

MR. GILL: That's correct.

MS. MAYER: And do you have any updates for us today regarding that subject?

MR. GILL: That we are sponsoring the FDOC, and they comply with all the rules and regulations. So we are good.

MS. MAYER: I'm sorry. Can you say that again?

MR. GILL: Yes. We are sponsoring the FDOC, and
we have determined that they complied with all the rules
and regulations.

MS. MAYER: And you don't have any updates?

MR. GILL: No. Nothing changed.

MS. MAYER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gill.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: This is Hearing Advisor Vaccaro. I do have one question for you, Mr. Gill. And I just want to be clear that that FDOC does ensure to the district's satisfaction that the Applicant has the emission reduction credits that are required for the

district offsets; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. They are fine.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Great. Thank you very much. Thank you for your time. I don't have any further questions.

Does anyone else have a question for Mr. Gill?
Applicant?

MR. HARRIS: No questions. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Staff?

MS. MAYER: No.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Committee members?

Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gill, for your time.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: I think at this point what we've been able to accomplish is we have our record open, we have the evidence admitted. I think we can close the record on all the topical areas at this point.

Before we move to any public comment though, I'd like to ask whether Ms. White or the Chairman have any questions or comment for the parties.

PRESIDING MEMBER DOUGLAS: No, I have no questions.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Any closing comments from either the Applicant or staff?

MR. HARRIS: I think Mr. LaFollette would like to

say something on behalf of the district very briefly.

MR. LaFOLLETTE: Yes, very briefly I want to thank you for -- with all the resource implications that you're currently dealing with, for attending to this project. We appreciate it very much; I know our ratepayers will appreciate it very much. Thank you.

MS. MAYER: Likewise, Staff appreciates

Applicant's cooperation on the few issues that we've had.

HEARING OFFICER VACCARO: Okay. With that, I'll

turn to public comment.

We don't have our hearing advisor, Jennifer

Jennings, here, but I have not heard from the public

advisor's office that there are any members of the public

who wanted to make a comment but could not be present. It

doesn't appear that there's anyone in the audience who is

a member of the public; is that correct?

Okay. Silence. So I think that's correct.

Is there anyone on the telephone who might wish to make a public comment at this time?

Hearing none, I think we have no public comment.

Again, I think I'll close with just thanking the parties for making sure that everything is as it needed to be so that we could move swiftly this morning, efficiently, but nonetheless quite thoroughly, because that's really more important than moving swiftly, is being

thorough.

We did indicate that by the end of the month the Committee anticipates issuing the Presiding Member's Proposed Decision, so I think the next time that we will see all of you is for the conference on the PMPD.

Thank you.

(Thereupon the California Energy Commission,
Almond 2 Power Plant Project Evidentiary Hearing
adjourned at 9:24 a.m.)

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, DIANA SASSEEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I stenographically recorded the foregoing California Energy Commission Evidentiary Hearing; that it was thereafter transcribed by me into typewriting.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said hearing, nor in any way interested in outcome of said hearing.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of October 2010.

Diana Sasseen, CSR No. 13456 Certified Shorthand Reporter State of California